Underwriters of D & O and Professional Liability Insurance

Issue 84 January 2013

In this issue ... we catch up with the federal whistleblowing program after its first full year, we note some helpful guidance on the FCPA offered by two federal agencies, and remind producers of our underwriting appetite.

THE DODD-FRANK WHISTLEBLOWER PROGRAM: YEAR 1

Introduced as part of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank"), the provisions setting up a whistleblowing program for insiders to "blow the whistle" on corporate transgressions attracted much public attention. The topic was reviewed in this newsletter in March 2011. The act required the setting up of an Office of the Whistleblower (OWB) within the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) to administer the program.

Mandated to produce annual reports to Congress at the end of the OWB fiscal year (October 1 - September 30), the OWB's first report in 2011 covered just a few weeks due to the delay in finalizing its rules of operation. This means that the 2012 fiscal year report delivered in November 2012 was the first to cover a full twelve-month period.

THE 2012 TALLY

During fiscal year 2012, only one whistleblower award was paid out, for an amount just under \$50,000. The SEC had obtained court-ordered sanctions of more than \$1,000,000, but only around \$150,000 had been collected. The award therefore was calculated at 30% of that amount, as prescribed by the act. When, and if. more money is collected from the transgressor, the whistleblower will continue to receive 30% of the additional funds.

During the course of 2012, the OWB received 3,001 "tips, complaints and referrals" from the public.

The OWB publicizes a list of SEC enforcement actions that may qualify for a whistleblower award—but only insofar as the penalties from those actions exceed the \$1,000,000 eligibility threshold for whistleblowing claims. In fiscal 2012 there were 143 such actions, but the OWB is careful to state that inclusion in this list: "...means only that an order was entered with monetary sanctions exceeding \$1 million. By posting a Notice ... we are not making any determinations either that (i) a whistleblower tip, complaint or referral led to the [SEC] opening an investigation or filing an action with respect to the case or (ii) an award to a whistleblower will be paid in connection with the case."

Consequently, there is no way of knowing how many of those 143 actions were triggered by whistleblowers: it could be almost all, or almost none. The OWB 2012 report also points out-albeit in a footnote-that the identity of the first and any future successful whistleblowers must be kept confidential, as required by Dodd-Frank, though there are some exceptions to this rule.

COMMENT

With the first full year under its belt, the OWB's record appears not to have justified the fears of the antiwhistleblowing lobby, which predicted a rampant and costly culture of corporate insiders spilling the beans on their employers. The actual tally of 3.001 public tips is a long way short of the 30.000 envisioned when the rules for the OWB were being promulgated. The one award made no dent in the assets of the fund set up to provide for payments, indeed it made hardly any dent in the \$757,248 investment income earned by the fund on its capital balance, which closed the year at a healthy \$453,429,825.

Of course, it is too soon to pronounce a verdict on the Dodd-Frank whistleblowing program, though the absence of data directly linking a tip with an ensuing SEC action is a significant impediment to evaluating the program's success. A single successful claim in 12 months might indicate that corporate conspirators are becoming more adept at keeping things secret, or maybe the extent of misbehavior is not as widespread as some believed; it could also simply reflect a time lag in bringing cases to their conclusion. A series of large awards could change things, but following a year when the publicity generated by the program will not likely be repeated, the OWB's bark currently appears worse than its bite.

THE FCPA: THE GOVERNMENT OFFERS SOME HELP

The federal Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) has been mentioned in this <u>newsletter</u> and by other <u>commentators</u> as a growing source of potential litigation against corporate directors, officers, and their employers. The SEC, which along with the federal Department of Justice (DOJ) is responsible for policing the FCPA, <u>created a special unit in 2010</u> devoted to FCPA enforcement.

Such SEC focus has <u>historically</u> not been in evidence: in the twenty-two years from 1979 through 2000 there were a total of seven SEC enforcement actions relating to the FCPA; compare that with the fifteen actions in both 2010 and 2011, although in 2012 the pace slowed a little to ten. It certainly appears that the federal authorities are now addressing FCPA violations with a zeal that might have been lacking from 1987 through 1995 (zero enforcement actions).

It is therefore noteworthy that in tandem with their enforcement offensive, the SEC and the DOJ have recently revealed a more benign side to their activities. In the middle of November of last year, the SEC and the DOJ jointly released a Resource Guide to the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. This document, in its own words "... is an unprecedented undertaking by DOJ and SEC to provide the public with detailed information about our FCPA enforcement approach and priorities."

Included in its wide-ranging contents are instructive hypothetical scenarios, as well as anonymous examples of actual case resolutions. Perhaps seeking to soften its image as a relentless pursuer of U.S. companies operating overseas, this publication appears to be a significant effort to help educate and guide American businesses through the potential quick sands of foreign involvement. Companies that might be impacted by the FCPA should find some value in its 120 pages. •

Past issues of CUG.COMments are available.

Chicago Underwriting Group, Inc.

Web: www.cug.com Email: info@cug.com Phone: (312) 750-8800 Fax: (312) 750-8965

You are welcome to forward this newsletter to colleagues, clients or other interested parties.

D&O Underwriting Preferences (Subject to change at any time)

Coverage	✓ Yes [⊙ Target Class]	○ Pass
PRIMARY	✓ Small to mid-size market caps	S Fortune 200
Public Company D&O Capacity: Up to \$15,000,000	 ▼ Technology ▼ Life Sciences ▼ IPOs ▼ "Fortune 1000" companies ▼ Energy ▼ Insurance companies ▼ All other classes, except those in "Pass" column 	Companies Investment Advisors Tobacco Gaming Healthcare Utilities SPACs Hedge Funds Private Equity Investment Groups
EXCESS	✓ Small to mid-size market caps	SPACs
Public Company D&O Capacity: Up to \$15,000,000	 ▼ Technology ▼ Life Sciences ✓ Insurance companies ✓ IPOs ✓ "Fortune 1000" companies ✓ Energy ✓ Fortune 200 companies ✓ Healthcare ✓ Utilities ✓ Financial Services ✓ Gaming ✓ Community & Regional Financial Institutions ✓ All other classes, except those in "Pass" column 	 Hedge Funds Large, Global Financial Institutions
Side A Only 1. Lead layer of a Side A / DIC program 2. Excess Side A 3. IDL	✓ All Classes, except those in "Pass" column	SPACsHedge Funds
Public Company D&O		
Capacity: Up to \$25,000,000		

Martin J. Perry	President	312.750.8806 mperry@cug.com				
D&O- Underwriting						
Devin Bilgi	Underwriting Analyst	312.750.8987 dbilgi@cug.com				
Tracy Burns	Vice President	312.750.8977 tburns@cug.com				
James Crockett	Vice President	312.750.8979 jcrockett@cug.com				
Clancy Foley	Assistant Vice President	312.750.8960 cfoley@cug.com				
Frank Kastelic	Senior Vice President	312.750.8968 <u>fkastelic@cug.com</u>				
Tim Kerber	Vice President / Denver	303-800-2232 tkerber@cug.com				
Luke Knowles	Underwriter / Denver	303-800-2249 lknowles@cug.com				
Vu Le	Assistant Vice President	312.750.8988 vle@cug.com				
Rich Mealle	Vice President	312.750.8971 rmealle@cug.com				
GeeAnn Parker	Underwriting Analyst	312.750.8967 gparker@cug.com				
Brian Stokley	Underwriting Analyst / Denver	303-800-2233 bstokley@cug.com				
David White	Assistant Vice President	312.750.8978 dwhite@cug.com				

LPL Underwriting Preferences (Subject to change at any time)

Coverage	Yes	Will Consider	No, thanks
Primary Lawyers Professional Liability Capacity: Up to \$15,000,000	• Firms with over 7 full-time attorneys and less than 60 attorneys	IP-focused Firms	Firms with under 7 full-time attorneys Firms with any involvement in plaintiff class actions/mass tort Firms with significant (typically 50% or over) involvement in: plaintiff PI/PD, securities or corporate tax
			 Firms with over 60 attorneys
Excess Lawyers Professional Liability	Firms with over 7 full-time attorneys	IP-focused Firms Firms with significant (typically 50% or over) plaintiff PI/PD practices	Firms with under 7 full-time attorneys Firms with any involvement in plaintiff class actions / mass tort
Capacity: Up to \$15,000,000		Firms with limited (less than 20%) securities or corporate tax practices Firms with over 60 attorneys	Firms with significant (typically 50% or over) securities or corporate tax practices

LPL - Underwriting

312.750.8979 James Crockett **Vice President**

jcrockett@cug.com

Clancy Foley **Assistant Vice President** 312.750.8960

cfoley@cug.com

D&O and LPL Products are not available in every state; ask your underwriter or visit www.cug.com